1	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
2	HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO
3	
4	STATE OF OHIO, :
5	·Plaintiff :
6	-vs- : Case No. B9601386
7	BRUCE WOODS, :
8	Defendant. :
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	DAVID STEVENSON, ESQ. Assistant County Prosecutor
14	On behalf of the Plaintiff
15	BRYAN PERKINS, ESQ. On behalf of the Defendant.
16	
17	
18	BE IT REMEMBERED that upon the hearing of
19	this matter on June 14, 1996, before the Honorable John P.
20	O'Connor, one of the said Judges of the Court of Common
21	Pleas, the following proceedings were had.
22	
23	EXHIBIT  2  2
24	
25	A-27

## MORNING SESSION, JUNE 14, 1996

2

1

THE COURT: Morning, ladies and gentlemen.

Court's back in session.

5

Sheriff, I believe that's Mr. Bruce Woods with you?

7

6

DEPUTY SHERIFF: Yes, sir.

8

THE COURT: Calling that case for

9

sentencing. Two cases appear on the docket

10

9600345, and as I mentioned in chambers that case I

11

believe was nullied, my notes indicate on May 31st,

12

by your office, sir, following the verdict in

13

9601386.

14

MR. STEVENSON: Right. The entry was actually processed per Mr. Nestor on June 12th.

15 16

THE COURT: June 10th?

17

MR. STEVENSON: Twelfth.

18

MR. NESTOR: June 12th.

19

THE COURT: Well, I'll indicate it was

20

dismissed on June 12th. Record would indicate as

21

follows: We're here for sentence following a jury

22

verdict of guilty on a seven count indictment

23

dealing with Mr. Woods and the events of January

24

8th, 1996, and what is commonly referred to as home

25

invasion at 3024 Aquadale Lane in Cincinnati, Ohio.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

 Following the jury verdict, which was guilty on
kidnapping two counts, robbery two counts,
aggravated robbery, armed robbery two counts,
aggravated burglary and gun specification, seven
counts altogether, presentence report was ordered,
victim impact statements, so forth.
I think counsel has had an opportunity to
see all these reports.

MR. PERKINS: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I also received a communication, which I gave to you off the record in chambers, sir, from an Alissa L. McCray, social friend of Mr. Woods.

MR. PERKINS: That's correct. I have read it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ready for sentencing?

MR. PERKINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead, sir, with what you have by way of mitigation or before sentence is passed.

MR. PERKINS: Your Honor, in mitigation, I have read the presentence investigation. It does appear to be accurate. I know you have reviewed it also.

What I would like to add to this matter is

the fact that I -- it's our position that these should be allied offenses of similar import.

THE COURT: You think all seven are one continuing course?

MR. PERKINS: Correct. I think that in this whole set of events there was only one animus involved and that was to go into this house and commit this --

THE COURT: Do you have that sheet, book?

MR. PERKINS: Your Honor, I would argue

that under State versus Logan, which does argue

whether there was animus, because there was only

one animus in this case they are all cases of

similar import and should be sentenced accordingly.

Going beyond that, I would ask Your Honor to look at the fact that myself -- I did speak with a couple of the jurors afterwards and I think the deciding factor in this case was the testimony of Rashone Riggins, who was a co-defendant in this case.

As you're aware, I think Rashone got substantially a good deal for testifying in this case and I guess he earned it since he was the one that ultimately did bring up the convictions against my client. I think he's going probably —

I can't speak on what he's going to get in sentencing, but he's certainly not going to get anywhere near what my client is going to get just based upon the plea bargain that was arranged.

I don't think that's certainly just in this case. It was Rashone, the one that got the gun involved. He knew where to go get the gun. He knew they were going to the house to commit this robbery, but yet he went along with it. And he supposedly saved the life of the victim and some other stuff during this.

However, he knew this gun was not loaded. Even though he did obtain the gun, he did not obtain any bullets for it. I believe there wasn't any evidence presented sufficient to sustain a conviction on the gun specification that this gun was operable in this case.

But I guess that's beside the point, but I think a just verdict in this case is that all the parties involved should receive similar sentences and I think since Rashone Riggins is going to walk out of this pretty light, I don't think it would be fair to sentence my client to a maximum sentence in this case.

THE COURT: Thank you.

A-31

7	
ㅗ	

Mr. Prosecutor.

MR. STEVENSON: Judge, we're not here on Rashone Riggins or Kelly Woods today. We're here on Bruce Woods.

THE COURT: I don't even think those cases are in this --

MR. STEVENSON: No, they're not. They're in Judge Tracey's room.

THE COURT: Have they been tried yet?

MR. PERKINS: No.

MR. STEVENSON: No.

THE COURT: Are you involved in those?

MR. PERKINS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there a trial date set?

MR. STEVENSON: I believe it's next week, but I'm not sure. The only thing I can indicate to you with respect to allied offenses and continuing course of conduct, in this particular case the burglary was completed when they trespassed into her house. I can't make any argument with regard to the aggravated robbery and the robbery. I think those clearly are allied offenses and should be merged. That applies to both Linda Allen and Cynthia Allen.

A-32 However, the robbery of Cynthia Allen took

place at a different time, in a different location
than the robbery of Linda Allen. She was asleep in
the back bedroom and was actually accosted in the
back hallway as opposed to immediately near the
Front door.

The last thing with respect to that, two kidnapping counts --

THE COURT: The individual to the basement?

MR. STEVENSON: Yes. And that again was something that took place after all the other crimes have been completed. So we have not one transaction, we actually have four. We have the burglary, we have the robbery of Linda Allen, the robbery of Cynthia Allen and then the kidnapping of both Linda and Cynthia Allen. So he can actually be sentenced on all.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. STEVENSON: All of those.

THE COURT: Is Ms. Allen present?

MS. ALLEN: Yes.

MR. STEVENSON: She is.

THE COURT: Couldn't see you, ma'am. I appreciate your coming to court today. I have read A-33 your statement, ma'am, in regards to the probation

1	officer contacted you. I'm sure was that Ms.
2	Schaeffer at the Probation Office, I think, had
3	gotten the victim impact statement from Ms. Allen.
4	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Somebody from
5	Probation.
6	THE COURT: Anything else you wanted to
7	say, ma'am?
8	MS. ALLEN: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
10	MR. STEVENSON: Come on up here, Linda.
11	THE COURT: If you want to step over by
12	the Prosecutor, ma'am.
13	MS. ALLEN: I would like to speak for my
14	grandkids, myself and my daughter. My grandkids
15	will probably never forget this. And myself
16	THE COURT: I don't think anybody will.
17	MS. ALLEN: I'm living in constant fear
18	because I've been threatened couple times since
19	this has happened. I've been bribed, I've been
20	threatened since Mr. Woods has been in jail.
21	Twice. And I just feel like I'm living in constant
22	fear not only of him, maybe his family members or
23	whoever he want to have something done to me.
24	THE COURT: Have you talked to Mr. A-34

Stevenson or the police about that, ma'am?

1	MS. ALLEN: Yes.
2	MR. STEVENSON: Judge, a relative
3	THE COURT: I don't
4	MR. STEVENSON: of Mr
5	THE COURT: Whatever is said is between
6	you all. If there's an ongoing investigation, I
7	just want to make
8	MR. STEVENSON: A relative of Mr. Woods
9	was actually charged in the robbery events and
10	she's related the rest of it to us as well.
11	THE COURT: I just want you to know,
12	ma'am, there are such offenses besides robbery.
13	There's intimidation of witnesses. You were a
14	witness. I just want to make sure, ma'am, that
15	you're getting some response to any complaint that
16	you feel that you have about something else besides
17	the events of January is this January 8th, I
18	believe. And you have talked to the Prosecutor and
19	you've talked to the police about that?
20	MS. ALLEN: Um-hum.
21	THE COURT: Talk to me, ma'am, about Mr.
22	Woods in sentencing and what would you like to say.
23	MS. ALLEN: I don't have no idea what to
24	say at all. Not nothing. A-35

THE COURT: I appreciate you coming to

1	court, ma'am. You may have a seat back. Thank
2	you.
3	Did you have anyone that you wanted me to
4	listen to? I'll get to Mr. Woods in a second.
5	Besides him, sir?
6	MR. PERKINS: No, Your Honor, not at this
7	time.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Woods, is there anything
9	you want to tell me?
10	THE DEFENDANT: I would like to speak to
11	Ms. Linda Allen.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry, sir?
13	THE DEFENDANT: I would like to speak to
14	Ms. Linda Allen, if she can come back here. Her,
15	Ms. Linda Allen.
16	THE COURT: Ms. Linda Allen. No, I don't
17	think that's necessary for you to speak to Ms.
18	Allen right now. You speak to me.
19	THE DEFENDANT: I want to tell her I'm
20	sorry this whole situation happened. I'm sorry.
21	THE COURT: If you want to tell her that
22	just turn around and tell her.
23	MS. ALLEN: Save it.
24	THE COURT: Speak to me now, sir. A-36
25	THE DEFENDANT: Well, other than that,

A-37

1	that's all I got to say.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Woods, I think you're a
3	dangerous individual. Remember the first time you
4	and I spoke? What cottage were you in at
5	Hillcrest?
6	THE DEFENDANT: What cottage was I in?
7	THE COURT: Oak?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No.
9	THE COURT: Walnut? Remember way back
10	'82, '83, in '84 when I sentenced you to the
11	Department of Youth Services? Where did you serve
12	your time? Buckeye?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Buckeye, yes.
14	THE COURT: Do you remember what I told
15	you then?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
17	THE COURT: Law applies to everybody. If
18	I break the law, I have to pay the consequences; if
19	you break the law, you have to pay the
20	consequences. And that's why you went to the
21	Department of Youth Services. Same applies in this
22	case.
23	On each of the cases for which there is a
24	gun specification, the sentence of three years will

be ordered. I find that the position of the

Prosecutor is correct, that this was individual and not a continuing course of conduct and, as such, the order will be twelve years on the gun specification.

I agree, too, that the burglaries merge with the aggravated burglaries and so --

MR. STEVENSON: That would be robbery.

THE COURT: Excuse me, robberies merge.

As such, no order will be made on them. They will just be merged with the respective aggravated robberies.

On the other five counts, sir, it's the order of the Court you be incarcerated for a period of not less than fifteen nor more than twenty-five years in the Ohio Department of Corrections. Said sentences to be served consecutive to each other and consecutive to the twelve years on the gun specification.

I understand you have a parole violation?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That comes to a total, sir, on this sentence; twelve years on the gun specification plus seventy-five to one hundred twenty-five years on the charges.

A-38

Is there a credit to be given for days

1	
1	served?
2	MR. STEVENSON: Yes, sir, he's entitled to
3	that.
4	THE COURT: Do we know the number, though?
5	He's also being held on the parole violation.
6	We'll determine the number of credited
7	days. Ms. Gates, if you can give us a call to the
8	jail.
9	MR. STEVENSON: What was the date of the
10	arrest?
11	MR. PERKINS: January 11th.
12	THE COURT: Do you understand what's
13	happening, sir?
14	THE DEFENDANT: So far, yes.
15	THE COURT: Is there anything you'd like
16	to say?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Not at this time.
18	THE COURT: This having been a serious
19	offense, sir, which has gone to trial on a plea of
20	not guilty, it's my responsibility to advise you
21	that you have the right to appeal. If you're
22	unable to pay the cost of appeal, you have the
23	right to appeal without payment. If you're unable
24	to obtain counsel for an appeal, counsel will be
25	appointed without cost; and if you're unable to pay

1	the cost of documents necessary to an appeal, such
2	documents will be provided without cost and you
3	have the right to have a notice of appeal timely
4	filed on your behalf.
5	Do you understand your appellate rights?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	Sheriff, Mr. Woods is back in your
9	custody.
10	Mr. Perkins, Ms. Gates will determine the
11	numbers of days. If you have any question on it,
12	would you mind notifying Mr. Woods?
13	MR. PERKINS: I will, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Anything counsel wants to put
15	on the record?
16	MR. STEVENSON: Judge, it looks like it's
17	156 days through today.
18	THE COURT: Tentatively credit, then, of
19	161, 156 plus 5 for him to get to the penitentiary.
20	Is there anything he wants to say in
21	regards to his appellate rights?
22	MR. PERKINS: Not at this time, Your
23	Honor.
24	THE COURT: So advised on the record he
25	A-40 understands them.

Г	
1	MR. PERKINS: Yes, Your Honor. I'll
2	discuss it with him further.
3	THE COURT: Thank you all for coming to
4	Court.
5	MR. PERKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	
7	SENTENCING CONCLUDED
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	A-41
25	

## CERTIFICATE

I, GAIL T. MCCUBBINS, the undersigned, an Official Court Reporter for the Hamilton County Municipal Court, Hamilton County, Ohio, do hereby certify that I recorded in stenotype and transcribed into typewriting the within transcript and that the foregoing transcript is a true, complete and accurate transcript of my said stenotype

notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Cincinnati, Ohio, this 3rd day of April, 1998.

Hamilton County Ohio

Official Court Reporter

Gail T. McCubbins

A-42